

## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED IN	IVENTOR ATTORNEY I	DOCKET NO. CONFIRMATION NO.
10/018,770	12/17/2001	Yoshihito II	keda F-7	178 2012
28107 75	90 12/04/2003		EXAMINER	
JORDAN ANI 122 EAST 42NI	D HAMBURG LLP D STREET		PF	RATS, FRANCISCO CHANDLER
SUITE 4000			ART	UNIT PAPER NUMBER
NEW YORK, 1	NY 10168		16	551

DATE MAILED: 12/04/2003

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

SM

		tion No.	Applicant(s)				
		770	IKEDA ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examin	er	Art Unit	_			
		co C Prats	1651				
The MAILING DATE of this communicated Period for Reply	ation appears on t	he cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communion - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) of the information - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statuling - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will any reply received by the Office later than three months after earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATION.  37 CFR 1.136(a). In no elication. days, a reply within the st tory period will apply and II, by statute, cause the ap	event, however, may a reply be tim atutory minimum of thirty (30) days will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from oplication to become ABANDONEI	rely filed  s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication.  O (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed	on <u>02 September</u>	<u>2003</u> .					
	☐ This action is r						
Since this application is in condition for closed in accordance with the practice	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposition of Claims		•	•				
<ul> <li>4)  Claim(s) 1.4.6-8 and 10-14 is/are pending in the application.</li> <li>4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.</li> <li>5)  Claim(s) is/are allowed.</li> <li>6)  Claim(s) 1.4.6-8 and 10-14 is/are rejected.</li> <li>7)  Claim(s) is/are objected to.</li> <li>8)  Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.</li> </ul>							
Application Papers							
9) The specification is objected to by the E	Examiner.						
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to by the Examiner.							
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).							
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).							
11) The oath or declaration is objected to b	y the Examiner. N	lote the attached Office	Action or form PTO-152.				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120							
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim fo a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority do 2. Certified copies of the priority do 3. Copies of the certified copies of the application from the International  * See the attached detailed Office action for a since a specific reference was included in 37 CFR 1.78.  a) The translation of the foreign languated and the first sentence was included in the first sentence.	icuments have been cuments have been the priority documents a list of the cert domestic priority un the first sentence lage provisional and domestic priority under the priority under t	en received. en received in Application tents have been received tile 17.2(a)). tified copies not received under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) te of the specification or the specification of the specification	on No d in this National Stage d. (to a provisional application) in an Application Data Sheet. eived. and/or 121 since a specific				
Attachment(s)							
Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)     Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-3)    Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Pape		4) Interview Summary (l 5) Notice of Informal Pa 6) Other:	PTO-413) Paper No(s) tent Application (PTO-152)				

Art Unit: 1651

## DETAILED ACTION

The amendment filed September 2, 2003, has been received and entered. The text of those sections of Title 35, U.S. Code, not included in this action can be found in a prior office action.

Claims 1, 4, 6-8 and 10-14 are pending and are examined on the merits.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

This application currently names joint inventors. In considering patentability of the claims under 35 U.S.C. 103(a), the examiner presumes that the subject matter of the various claims was commonly owned at the time any inventions covered therein were made absent any evidence to the contrary. Applicant is advised of the obligation under 37 CFR 1.56 to point out the inventor and invention dates of each claim that was not commonly owned at the time a later invention was made in order for the examiner to consider the applicability of 35 U.S.C. 103(c) and potential 35 U.S.C. 102(e), (f) or (g) prior art under 35 U.S.C. 103(a).

Art Unit: 1651

Claims 1, 4, 6-8 and 10-14 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over JP 9-117279 in view of JP 1-304882.

JP '279 discloses the preparation of the claimed lecithinderived SOD for therapeutic uses. See English language abstract; see also page 4 of English translation, provided herewith. JP '279 differs from the cited claims in not combining the SOD derivative with a carrier which allows for the storage properties recited in the claims. However, JP '882 clearly discloses that combination of SOD with sucrose results in a stable SOD preparation suitable for storage. See English language abstract; see also "Embodiment 3", at pages 9 and 10 of the English translation provided herewith. Thus, the artisan of ordinary skill seeking to store the SOD derivatives of JP '279, recognizing from JP '882 that addition of sucrose would improve the storage stability of the SOD derivatives, clearly would have been motivated by JP '882 to have combined the SOD derivatives of '279 with sucrose to have rendered them stable for storage. A reasonable expectation of success would have been based on the fact that JP '882 discloses that the very same enzyme was rendered storage stable by combination with sucrose. A holding of obviousness is therefore required.

Art Unit: 1651

All of applicant's argument regarding this ground of rejection has been fully considered but is not persuasive of error. Applicant urges that the mere fact that Zn/Cu SOD has been formulated with sucrose does not suggest adding sucrose to the PC-SOD of JP 9-117279. However, the artisan of ordinary skill seeking to store the SOD derivatives of JP '279, recognizing from JP '882 that addition of sucrose would improve the storage stability of the SOD derivatives, clearly would have been motivated by JP '882 to have combined the SOD derivatives of `279 with sucrose to have rendered them stable for storage. JP '882 clearly discloses the advantageous nature of using sucrose to store SOD in general, thereby suggesting the use of sucrose as a storage additive with virtually any known SOD, including lecithin-derived SOD as disclosed by JP '279. holding of obviousness must therefore be maintained.

No claims are allowed.

THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS

Art Unit: 1651

Page 5

of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Francisco C Prats whose telephone number is 703-308-3665. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Friday, with alternate Fridays off.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Michael G Wityshyn can be reached on 703-308-4743. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703-308-0196.

Francisco C Prats Primary Examiner Art Unit 1651

FCP